EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

B1" CATEGORY - MINOR MINERAL - CLUSTER - NON-FOREST LAND -PATTA LAND

UDAIYALIPATTI ROUGH STONE AND GRAVEL CLUSTER QUARRIES

Udaiyalipatti Village, Kulathur Taluk, Pudukottai District, Tamil Nadu State

NAME OF PROPOSED PROJECT PROPONENTS APPLYING IN CLUSTER

P1	P2	Р3
Thiru. R. Kumar S.F. No: 136/3 etc Extent: 6.73.0 ha	Thiru. A. Urumaiya S.F. No: 200/1, 4A, 201/1, 2, 204/4, 6, 207/4A, 4B, 9, 10, 11 & 12 Extent: 2.66.50 ha	Tmt.U. Vijayalakshmi 153/1,2,3,4,5,6,154/3A2,162/9, 162/10 & 162/11 Extent: 2.82.5 ha

* CLUSTER EXTENT = 17.64.0 ha

* Cluster Calculated as per MoEF & CC Notification – S.O. 2269(E) Dated: 01.07.2016

ToR Obtained vide


Lr.No. SEIAA-TN/F.No.9764/ToR-1457/2023 Dated: 10.05.2023-P1 Lr.No. SEIAA-TN/F.No.9828/ToR-1436/2023 Dated: 24.04.2023- P2 Lr.No. SEIAA-TN/F.No.10545/2023/SEAC/ToR-1673/2024 Dated:08.02.2024-P3

Environmental Consultant

GEO EXPLORATION AND MINING SOLUTIONS GEMS

Old No. 260-B, New No. 17,

Advaitha Ashram Road, Alagapuram, Salem – 636 004, Tamil Nadu, India



Phone: 0427-2431989. Email: ifthiahmed@gmail.com, geothangam@gmail.com

Web: www.gemssalem.com

Environmental Lab

EHS 360 LABS PRIVATE LIMITED

10/2 Ground floor, 50th street, 7th Avenue, Ashok Nagar, Chennai - 600 083

Baseline Monitoring Season - March 2023 to May 2023

APRIL 2024

2. INTRODUCTION

Rough Stone and Gravel are the major requirements for construction industry. This EIA report is prepared by considering Cumulative load of all proposed & existing quarries of Udaiyalipatti Rough Stone and Gravel Cluster Quarries consisting of three Proposed and three Existing Quarries with total extent of Cluster of 17.64.0 Ha in Udaiyalipatti Village, Kulathur Taluk, Pudukottai District and Tamil Nadu State, cluster area calculated as per MoEF & CC Notification S.O. 2269(E) Dated 1st July 2016.

The proponent has obtained necessary statutory clearances from the Department of Geology and Mining, Pudukkottai District, Tamil Nadu (Statutory Clearance Documents are enclosed along with Mining plan as Annexure No III). The total Extent of the quarries within the radius of 500m from this proposal is > 5Ha, hence the proposal falls under "B1" Category project as per the EIA notification, 2006 (As amended timely).

Proponent applied for Environmental Clearance to SEIAA, Tamil Nadu and obtained

CODE	Name of the proponent	Extent (Ha)	Terms of Reference (ToR)
P1	Thiru. R. Kumar,	6.73.0	Lr.No. SEIAA-TN/F.No.9764/ToR-1457/2023 Dated: 10.05.2023
P2	Thiru. A. Urumaiya,	2.66.5	Lr.No. SEIAA-TN/F.No.9828/ToR-1436/2023 Dated: 24.04.2023
Р3	Thiru. U. Vijaylakshmi,	2.82.5	Lr.No. SEIAA- TN/F.No.10545/2023/SEAC/ToR-1673/2024 Dated:08.02.2024

for carrying out EIA and EMP studies for the rough stone and gravel quarry.

To carry out the EIA studies and to prepare EIA/ EMP studies the proposed & existing quarries of Udaiyalipatti Rough Stone and Gravel Cluster Quarries have engaged a consultant M/s. Geo Exploration and Mining Solutions, Salem, Tamil Nadu. The Baseline Monitoring study has been carried out during post monsoon season (March 2023- May 2023) considering the provisions of MoEF & CC Office Memorandum Dated: 29.08.2017 and MoEF & CC Notification S.O. 996 (E) Dated: 10.04.2015.

"Draft EIA report prepared on the basis of ToR Issued for carrying out public hearing for the grant of Environmental Clearance from SEIAA, Tamil Nadu"

1.1 DETAILS OF PROJECT PROPONENT – P1, P2 & P3

PROPOSAL – P1				
Name of the Company	Thiru. R. Kumar Rough Stone & Gravel Quarry Project			
Address	S/o. Ramaiah, Avudayarpatti Village, Alangudi Taluk,			
Address	Pudukkottai District – 622 303			
Mobile	+91 97509 71112 & 94432 61207			
Status	Proprietor			
	PROPOSAL – P2			
Name of the Company	Thiru. A. Urumaiya Rough Stone & Gravel Quarry Project			
Address	S/o. Arasu Palandar, No.2/309, Udaiyar Street, Killukkottai, Kulathur			
Address	Taluk, Pudukottai District.,			
Mobile	+91 97914 36664			
Status	Proprietor			
	PROPOSAL – P3			
Name of the Company	Tmt.U. Vijaylakshmi Rough Stone & Gravel Quarry Project			

Address	W/o. Urumaiya, No.2/309, Killukottai, Kulathur Taluk, Pudukottai District.,	
Mobile	+91 97914 36664	
Status	Proprietor	

The project proponent is an individual.

1.2 QUARRY DETAILS WITHIN 500 M RADIUS

CODE Name of the Owner S.F. Nos Extent(ha) Status		PROPOSED QUARRIES						
S/o. Ramaiah,	CODE		S.F. Nos	Extent(ha)	Status			
S/o. Arasu Palandar, No.2/309, Udaiyur Street, Killukottai Kulathur Taluk, Pudukottai District.,	P1	S/o. Ramaiah, Avudayarpatti Village, Alangudi Taluk, Pudukkottai	136/3 etc	6.73.0	SEIAA-TN/F.No.9764/ToR-			
W/o. Urumaiya, 153/1,2,3,4,3,6, 154/3A2,162/9, 162/10 & 162/11 2.82.5 SEIAA-TN/F.No.10545/2023/SEAC/ToF 1673/2024 Dated:08.02.2024	P2	S/o. Arasu Palandar, No.2/309, Udaiyur Street, Killukottai Kulathur Taluk, Pudukottai District.,	201/1, 2, 204/4, 6, 207/4A, 4B, 9,	2.66.5	SEIAA-TN/F.No.9828/ToR-			
EXISTING QUARRIES	Р3	W/o. Urumaiya, No.2/309, Killukottai, Kulathur Taluk,	154/3A2,162/9,	2.82.5	SEIAA- TN/F.No.10545/2023/SEAC/ToR-			
CODEName of the OwnerS.F. NosExtent(ha)StatusTmt.U. Vijaylakshmi, W/o. Urumaiya, No.2/309, Udaiyar Street, Kulathur Taluk, Pudukottai161/3C2, 162/80.53.521.12.2021 to 20.12.2026Thiru. R. Rajmohan, S/o.Rajappan, No.2/248-1, Namakkal District.124/1, etc1.64.029.12.2021 to 28.12.2026M/s.Arumuga Blue Metals, C4 fourth floor, AMD, sunshine Apartment, Kandhan Nagar, Ullur, Kumbakonam, Thanjavur203/1, 2, 3A, etc.,3.24.511.01.2022 to 10.01.2027EXPIRED QUARRIESCODEName of the OwnerS.F. NoExtent(ha)Lease Period		TOTAL						
E1								
E1	CODE		S.F. Nos	Extent(ha)	Status			
E2	E1	W/o. Urumaiya, No.2/309, Udaiyar Street,		0.53.5	21.12.2021 to 20.12.2026			
E3 C4 fourth floor, AMD, sunshine Apartment, Kandhan Nagar, Ullur, Kumbakonam, Thanjavur TOTAL EXPIRED QUARRIES CODE Name of the Owner S.F. No Extent(ha) Lease Period Thiru. K. Ramasamy,	E2	S/o.Rajappan, No.2/248-1, Namakkal	124/1, etc	1.64.0	29.12.2021 to 28.12.2026			
EXPIRED QUARRIES CODE Name of the Owner S.F. No Extent(ha) Lease Period Thiru. K. Ramasamy,	E3	C4 fourth floor, AMD, sunshine Apartment, Kandhan Nagar, Ullur, Kumbakonam, Thanjavur			11.01.2022 to 10.01.2027			
CODE Name of the Owner S.F. No Extent(ha) Lease Period Thiru. K. Ramasamy,								
Thiru. K. Ramasamy,	CODE	NI CALO		T D 1 1				
	CODE		S.F. No	Extent(ha)	Lease Period			
EX1 Udaiyalpatti, 124/4B,149/2 0.70.0 06.10.2007 to 05.10.2012 Kulathur ,Pudukkottai District.	EX1	S/o. Karuppaiah, Udaiyalpatti, Kulathur ,Pudukkottai District.	124/4B,149/2		06.10.2007 to 05.10.2012			
TOTAL 0.70.0								
TOTAL CLUSTER EXTENT 17.64.0	Note:-	TOTAL CLUSTER EXTI	ENT	17.64.0				

Note:-

• Cluster area is calculated as per MoEF & CC Notification – S.O. 2269 (E) Dated: 01.07.2016

TABLE 1.3 SALIENT FEATURES OF THE PROPOSED PROJECTS IN CLUSTER (P1&P2-P3)

		ATURES OF PRO	·		
Name of the Mine	Thiru. R. Kumar Rough Stone & Gravel Quarry				
Land Type	It is a Patta lands classified as Punjai (Barren land) which is not fit for vegetation/ Cultivation.				
ar n	136/3, 142/1, 2A, 2B, 3, 4, 5A, 143/1, 2, 3, 144/1A, 1B, 1C, 3A, 3B, 3C, 4, 5A, 5B, 5C, 6, 7,				
S.F. Nos	145/1, 2, 3, 146/9, 10A, 11, 147/2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8B, 9B, 10, 11A, 12A, 13, 148/2A (P) & 2B2				
Extent			6.73.0 Ha		
Previous quarry	It is a Patta lands.	The S.F.Nos.148/2.	A & 148/2B2 are s	till in the name of Tvl.	
operation details	Pooshya Exports P	rivate Limited, Che	ennai-63 in the Par	ta (Patta No.1489) but the	
	pattadar has made a sale deed to the applicant (Thiru.R.Kumar) vide Document				
	No.4255/2021 on 1		thur Sub Register	Office.	
Geological Reserves		ough Stone		Gravel	
Geological Reserves		5,92,000 m ³		1,34,600 m ³	
Mineable Reserves		ough Stone		Gravel	
	11	1,29,005 m ³		1,01,414m ³	
Proposed production for	R	ough Stone		Gravel	
five years upto the depth				1.01.4143	
of 42m as per ToR	0.	,64,175 m ³		1,01,414 m ³	
Next Five years period			ugh Stone m ³		
		4	,64,830 m ³		
Mining Plan Period /			10 Years		
Lease Period					
Depth Proposed			soil + 40m Rough	stone)	
YYU BUBU			the ground level	T	
Ultimate Pit Dimension	Sections	L (m)	W (m)	D (m)	
(Maximum)	XY-IJ	42	22	7m bgl	
	X1Y1-AB 124 56 42m bgl				
	X1Y1-CD	65	173	42m bgl	
	X1Y1-EF 69 174 42m bgl				
	X2Y2-GH	Ŭ			
	X3Y3-AB	48	22	2m bgl	
	X3Y3-CD	40	40	12m bgl	
	X3Y3-EF	64	11	2m bgl	
Toposheet No			58 J/14		
Latitude	_	10°36'49.5478	3"N to 10°36'59.44	45"N	
Longitude	_		9"E to 78°53'27.28	316"E	
Highest elevation			27m AMSL		
		ck Hammer		6	
37. 11.		Compressor		2	
Machinery proposed	Excavator with Bucket and Rock Breaker			2	
	Trucks 5				
D1('	Vagon Drill	gon Drill I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			
Blasting Mannayan Danlaymant	+	osage of Sturry Exp		ueionators	
Manpower Deployment	+	D :	40 Nos 2,70,29,000/-		
Total Project Cost	+				
CER Cost	Rs.5,00,000/-				
SALIENT FEATURES OF PROPOSAL "P2"					
Name of the Mine	ne Mine Thiru. A. Urumaiya Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry			Gravel Quarry	
Land Type				naiya, vide Patta No. 2214 and	
1					
		mi, vide Patta No. 2	2212. The applicant	nas obtained consent from the	
	Tmt.U. Vijayalaksh		pattadar		
S.F. No.	Tmt.U. Vijayalaksh	200/1, 4A, 201/1, 2	pattadar 2, 204/4, 6, 207/4A	, 4B, 9, 10, 11 & 12	
Extent	Tmt.U. Vijayalaksh	200/1, 4A, 201/1, 2	pattadar		
Extent Existing pit dimension	Tmt.U. Vijayalaksh	200/1, 4A, 201/1, 2	pattadar 2, 204/4, 6, 207/4A 2.66.5 Ha		
Extent	Tmt.U. Vijayalaksh	200/1, 4A, 201/1, 2 40m	pattadar 2, 204/4, 6, 207/4A	., 4B, 9, 10, 11 & 12	

Geological Resources	Rough Stone		We	eathered Rock	Gravel	
	7,60,900m ³			65,220m ³	43,480m ³	
Mineable Reserves	9		We	eathered Rock	Gravel	
	1,41,130m ³			33,447m ³	26,990 m ³	
Proposed quantity of	Rough Stone		We	eathered Rock	Gravel	
reserves for first five years plan	61,700m ³			$33,447m^3$	26,990m ³	
Proposed quantity of		ı	Ro	ough Stone		
reserves for						
Remaining five years			7	$79,430 \text{m}^3$		
plan						
Mining Plan Period / Lease Period				10 Years		
	Dimension Pits	Length	in m	Width in m	Depth in m	
First Five Year	Pi-1	23		74	10m below ground level	
Proposed Pit	Pit-II	81		100	30m below ground level	
Dimension	Pit-III	19		69	5m below ground level	
	Pit-IV	32		53	5m below ground level	
	Pit-V	19		80	5m below ground level	
	Dimension Pits	Length	in m	Width in m	Depth in m	
	Pi-1	23		74	20m below ground level	
Ultimate Pit	Pit-II	81		100	40m below ground level	
Dimension	Pit-III	19		69	5m below ground level	
	Pit-IV	32		53	20m below ground level	
	Pit-V	19		80	5m below ground level	
Toposheet No				58 - J/14		
Latitude	10°36'23.49"N to 10°36'29.73"N					
Longitude				"E to 78°53'03.01		
Highest Elevation				5m AMSL		
Machinery	Jack Hammer 2					
·	Compressor		1		1	
	Excavator with Bucket	et and		1		
	Rock Breaker					
	Tippers		2			
Blasting	Usa	ige of Slu	ırry Exp	losive with MSD	with MSD detonators	
Manpower				17 Nos		
Deployment						
Total Cost				43,62,000/-		
CER cost (2.0%)				.5,00,000/-		
	SALIENT FEA					
Name of the Mine				Rough stone and		
Land Type				It is a Patta lands. Registered in the name of the applicant (Tmt. U. Vi		
	vide Patta No.127 and Thiru. A. Urumaiya, vide Patta Nos. 2205, 790 & 1					
				•		
CEN	app.	licant has	obtaine	ed consent from the	ne pattadar.	
S.F. No.	app.	licant has	s obtaine 5, 4, 5, 6	ed consent from th , 154/3A2, 162/9,	ne pattadar.	
Extent	app. 1:	licant has 53/1, 2, 3	s obtaine 5, 4, 5, 6	ed consent from th , 154/3A2, 162/9, 2.82.5 Ha	ne pattadar. 10 & 11	
	appi 1: It is a fresh lease applic	licant has 53/1, 2, 3 cation bu	s obtaine 5, 4, 5, 6 2 t, the ap	ed consent from th , 154/3A2, 162/9, 2.82.5 Ha plied area has bee	ne pattadar. 10 & 11 en considered quarrying	
Extent	It is a fresh lease applic operation earlier. The content of the c	licant has 53/1, 2, 3 cation bu	s obtaine 3, 4, 5, 6 2 t, the apase was	ed consent from th , 154/3A2, 162/9, 2.82.5 Ha plied area has bee previously grantee	ne pattadar. 10 & 11 on considered quarrying d in the favour of Tmt. U.	
Extent	It is a fresh lease applic operation earlier. The c Vijayalakshmi, over an	licant has 53/1, 2, 3 cation bur quarry lead to extent o	s obtaine 6, 4, 5, 6 2 t, the ap ase was f 1.89.5	ed consent from th , 154/3A2, 162/9, 2.82.5 Ha plied area has bee previously granted hectares of Patta l	ne pattadar. 10 & 11 en considered quarrying d in the favour of Tmt. U. ands in S.F.Nos.153/1, 2, 3,	
Extent	It is a fresh lease applic operation earlier. The of Vijayalakshmi, over an 4, 5, 6, 162/9, 10 & 11	licant has 53/1, 2, 3 cation bu quarry lea extent o of Udaiy	s obtaine 6, 4, 5, 6 2 t, the apase was f 1.89.5 ralipatti	ed consent from the 154/3A2, 162/9, 2.82.5 Ha plied area has been previously granted hectares of Patta l Village, Kulathur	en pattadar. 10 & 11 en considered quarrying d in the favour of Tmt. U. lands in S.F.Nos.153/1, 2, 3, Taluk, Pudukottai District	
Extent	It is a fresh lease applic operation earlier. The c Vijayalakshmi, over an 4, 5, 6, 162/9, 10 & 11 vide Rc.No.2694/2015	cation bu quarry lea extent o of Udaiy G&M D	s obtaine 6, 4, 5, 6 2 t, the apase was f 1.89.5 ralipatti	ed consent from the 154/3A2, 162/9, 2.82.5 Ha plied area has been previously granted hectares of Patta l Village, Kulathur	ne pattadar. 10 & 11 en considered quarrying d in the favour of Tmt. U. ands in S.F.Nos.153/1, 2, 3,	
Extent Previous quarry details	It is a fresh lease applic operation earlier. The of Vijayalakshmi, over an 4, 5, 6, 162/9, 10 & 11	cation budguarry lead extent of Udaiy G&M D 2021)	t, the apase was f 1.89.5 ralipatti ated: 21	ed consent from the 154/3A2, 162/9, 2.82.5 Ha plied area has been previously granter hectares of Patta I Village, Kulathur 1.09.2016 for the previous of the p	ne pattadar. 10 & 11 en considered quarrying d in the favour of Tmt. U. ands in S.F.Nos.153/1, 2, 3, Taluk, Pudukottai District period of five years from	
Extent Previous quarry details Existing pit dimension	It is a fresh lease applic operation earlier. The c Vijayalakshmi, over an 4, 5, 6, 162/9, 10 & 11 vide Rc.No.2694/2015	cation budguarry lead extent of Udaiy G&M D 2021)	t, the apase was f 1.89.5 ralipatti ated: 21	ed consent from the 154/3A2, 162/9, 2.82.5 Ha plied area has been previously granted hectares of Patta l Village, Kulathur 1.09.2016 for the previously X 28m	ne pattadar. 10 & 11 en considered quarrying d in the favour of Tmt. U. ands in S.F.Nos.153/1, 2, 3, Taluk, Pudukottai District period of five years from	
Extent Previous quarry details Existing pit dimension Depth restricted as per	It is a fresh lease applic operation earlier. The c Vijayalakshmi, over an 4, 5, 6, 162/9, 10 & 11 vide Rc.No.2694/2015	cation budguarry lead extent of Udaiy G&M D 2021)	t, the apase was f 1.89.5 ralipatti ated: 21	ed consent from the 154/3A2, 162/9, 2.82.5 Ha plied area has been previously granter hectares of Patta I Village, Kulathur 1.09.2016 for the previous of the p	ne pattadar. 10 & 11 en considered quarrying d in the favour of Tmt. U. ands in S.F.Nos.153/1, 2, 3, Taluk, Pudukottai District period of five years from	
Extent Previous quarry details Existing pit dimension Depth restricted as per ToR	It is a fresh lease applic operation earlier. The ovijayalakshmi, over an 4, 5, 6, 162/9, 10 & 11 vide Rc.No.2694/2015 (03.10.2016 and 02.10.	cation bu quarry lea extent o of Udaiy G&M D .2021)	t, the apase was f 1.89.5 ralipatti ated: 21	ed consent from the 154/3A2, 162/9, 2.82.5 Ha plied area has been previously granted hectares of Patta l Village, Kulathur 1.09.2016 for the previously X 28m	ne pattadar. 10 & 11 on considered quarrying d in the favour of Tmt. U. ands in S.F.Nos.153/1, 2, 3, Taluk, Pudukottai District period of five years from (D)	
Extent Previous quarry details Existing pit dimension Depth restricted as per	It is a fresh lease applic operation earlier. The c Vijayalakshmi, over an 4, 5, 6, 162/9, 10 & 11 vide Rc.No.2694/2015	cation bu quarry lea extent o of Udaiy G&M D 2021)	t, the apase was f 1.89.5 ralipatti ated: 21	ed consent from the process of Pattal No. 2016 for	ne pattadar. 10 & 11 en considered quarrying d in the favour of Tmt. U. ands in S.F.Nos.153/1, 2, 3, Taluk, Pudukottai District period of five years from	

	90,700 m ³		11,856 m ³	
Proposed production	Roughstone		Gravel	
for five years	90,700	m³		11,856 m³
Mining Plan Period / Lease Period	5 V		5 Years	
Ultimate Pit	Pits	Length in m (Max)	Width in m (Max)	Depth in m (Max)
Dimension	I	130	125	40m below ground level
	II	130	60	40m below ground level
Toposheet No			58-J/14	
Latitude		10°36'40	.76"N to 10°36'46	.92"N
Longitude		78°53'04	.20"E to 78°53'12	.97"E
Highest Elevation		12	27m (Max) Amsl	
Machinery	Jack Hammer			2
		Compressor		1
Excavator with Bucket and Rock		Breaker	1	
		Tippers		2
Blasting	Usage of Slurry Explosive with		Explosive with MS	SD detonators
Manpower Deployment	17 Nos			
	Project Cost			Rs. 41,71,000/-
Total Project Cost	EMP Cost			Rs. 3,80,000/-
		Total		Rs. 45,51,000/-
CER cost (2.0%)		Rs.5,00,000/-		

1.3 STATUTORY DETAILS

Project – P1 – Thiru. R. Kumar

- The proponent applied for Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry Lease Dated: 28.04.2022
- The precise area communication letter was received from the Assistant Director, Pudukkottai district Rc.No. Rc.No.310/2022 (G&M), Dated: 15.09.2022
- The Mining Plan was prepared by Recognized Qualified Person and approved by Joint Director / Assistant Director (i/c), Department of Geology and Mining, Pudukkottai district vide Rc.No.310/2022 (G&M), Dated: 18.10.2022.
- Proponent applied for ToR for Environmental Clearance vides online Proposal No. SIA/TN/MIN/415559/2023 dated 23.01.2023.
- The proposal was placed in 366th SEAC meeting held on 30.03.2023 and the committee recommended for issue of ToR.
- The proposal was considered in 616th SEIAA meeting held on 10.05.2023 and issued ToR vide Lr.No. SEIAA-TN/F.No.9764/ToR-1457/2023 Dated: 10.05.2023

Project – P2 – Thiru.A. Urumaiya

- The proponent applied for Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry Lease Date from 05.02.2021
- The precise area communication letter was received from the Assistant Director, Pudukkottai district vide. Rc.No.64/2021 (G&M), Dated: 19.07.2022 and 21.12.2022.
- The Mining Plan was prepared by Recognized Qualified Person and approved by Joint Director / Assistant Director (i/c), Department of Geology and Mining, Pudukkottai District vide Rc.No. 64/2021 (G&M) Dated: 05.01.2023

- Proponent applied for ToR for Environmental Clearance vides online Proposal No. SIA/TN/MIN/417851/2023, Dated:11.02.2023.
- The proposal was placed in 367th SEAC meeting held on 31.03.2023 and the committee recommended for issue of ToR.
- The proposal was considered in 614th SEIAA meeting held on 24.04.2023 and issued ToR vide Lr.No. SEIAA-TN/F.No.9828/ToR-1436/2023 Dated: 24.04.2023.

Project – P3 – Tmt.U. Vijayalakshmi

- The proponent applied for Rough Stone and Gravel Quarry Lease Dated: 28.02.2022.
- The precise area communication letter was received from the Assistant Director, Department of Geology and Mining, Pudukkottai District vide Rc.No.763/2020 (G&M), Dated: 19.07.2022
- The Mining Plan was prepared by Qualified Person and approved by Assistant Director, Department of Geology and Mining; Pudukkottai vide Rc.No.763/2020 (G&M), Dated: 27.09.2022.
- Proponent applied for ToR for Environmental Clearance vide proposal No SIA/TN/MIN/452543/2023, Dated:17.11.2023
- The proposal was placed in 436th SEAC meeting held on 29.12.2023 and the committee recommended for issue of ToR.
- The proposal was considered in 693rd SEIAA meeting held on 08.02.2024 and issued ToR vide Lr.No. SEIAA-TN/F.No.10545/2023/SEAC/ToR-1673/2024 Dated:08.02.2024.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed project is site specific and there is no additional area required for this project. There is no effluent generation/discharge from the proposed quarries. Rough Stone is proposed to be excavated by opencast mechanized method involving splitting of rock mass of considerable volume from the parent rock mass by jackhammer drilling and blasting, hydraulic excavators are used for loading the Rough Stone from pithead to the needy crushers and rock breakers to avoid secondary blasting.

2.1 SITE CONNECTIVITY TO THE CLUSTER QUARRIES

Nearest Roadway	NH336 - Trichy – Pudukottai Road - 13.0km-SW	
	SH99 -Thirukattupalli – Pattukottai Road – 12.0km-NE	
Nearest Village	Rakkadanpatti village – 550m-NE	
Nearest Town	Keeranur – 12.0km-SW	
Nearest Railway	Keeranur – 12.0km-SW	
Nearest Airport	Trichy Airport – 28 km – North West	
Seaport Tuticorin - 220 Km-SW		

Source: Google image, Survey of India Toposheet.

2.2 LAND USE PATTERN OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT-P1

Description	Present area in (ha)	Area required during the first five years of lease period (Ha)	Area at the end of lease period (ha)
Area under Quarrying	Nil	5.19.3	5.19.3
Infrastructure	Nil	0.02.0	0.02.0
Roads	Nil	0.03.0	0.05.0
Green Belt	Nil	0.93.09	1.40.5
Unutilized Area	6.73.0	0.54.8	0.06.2
Grand Total	6.73.0	6.73.0	6.73.0

LAND USE PATTERN OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT-P2

Description	Present area in (ha)	Area required during the first five years of lease period (Ha)	Area at the end of lease period (ha)
Area under Quarrying	Nil	1.68.0	1.68.0
Infrastructure	Nil	0.01.0	0.01.0
Roads	Nil	0.02.0	0.02.0
Green Belt	Nil	0.28.0	0.56.0
Unutilized Area	2.66.5	0.67.5	0.39.5
Grand Total	2.66.5	2.66.5	2.66.5

LAND USE PATTERN OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT-P3

Description	Present area in (ha)	Area at the end of quarrying period (ha)
Quarrying Pit	1.28.0	1.86.0
Infrastructure	Nil	0.01.0
Roads	0.02.0	0.02.0
Green Belt	Nil	0.30.0
Unutilized Area	1.52.5	0.63.5
Grand Total	2.82.5	2.82.5

Source: Approved Mining Plan

2.3 OPERATIONAL DETAILS FOR PROPOSED PROJECTS P1-P3

OPERA'	ΓΙΟΝΑL DETAILS FOR PRO	DIECT – P1			
OI Blui		DETAILS			
PARTICULARS	Rough Stone (m ³)	Gra	avel (m³) s Plan period)		
Geological Resources	26,92,000 1,34,600				
Mineable Reserves	11,29,005 1,01,414				
Production for five-year plan period	6,64,175	1,	,01,414		
Production for Next five-year	Rou	gh Stone (m³)			
plan period		4,64,830 ³			
Mining Plan Period / Lease Applied Period		10 Years			
Number of Working Days		300 Days			
Production per day	376		113		
No of Lorry loads (12m³ per load)	32		10		
No of Loffy loads (12ff) per load)		1. 40 D 1			
Total Depth of Mining		vel+ 40m Rough stor the ground level	ne)		
ODEDA	FIONAL DETAILS FOR PRO				
OFERA		DETAILS			
			Gravel (m ³)		
PARTICULARS	Rough Stone (m³) (5Year Plan period)	Weathered Rock (m ³)	(3 Years Plan period)		
Geological Resources	7,60,900m ³	65,220m ³	43,480m ³		
Mineable Reserves	1,41,130m ³	33,447m ³	26,990 m ³		
Production for first five years Plan	61,700 m ³	3 3,447 m ³	2 6,990 m ³		
Production for Next five years	Rou	gh Stone (m³)			
Plan		$79,430 \text{m}^3$			
Mining Plan Period / Lease Applied Period		10Years			
Number of Working Days		300 Days			
Production per day	47	37	30		
No of Lorry loads (12m³ per load)	4	4	3		
Total Depth of mining		40m Bgl			
OPER	ATIONAL DETAILS FOR P	ROJECT – P3			
	DETAILS				
PARTICULARS	Rough Stone (m ³)		avel (m³)		
Geological Resources	5,41,258 m ³		y,330 m ³		
Mineable Reserves	90,700 m ³		,856 m ³		
Production for five-year plan	•				
period	90,700 m ³ 11,856 m ³				
Mining Plan Period / Lease Applied Period		5 Years			
Number of Working Days		300 Days			
Production per day	60		13		
No of Lorry loads (6m ³ per load)	10		2		
Proposed Depth for Mining Plan Period Source: approved mining plan	40m bgl				

Source: approved mining plan

^{*} Gravel and weathered formation are proposed to excavate for first, second and third year only

FIGURE - 1: GOOGLE IMAGE SHOWING PROJECT AREA -P1




FIGURE - 2: GOOGLE IMAGE SHOWING PROJECT AREA -P2






FIGURE – 3: GOOGLE IMAGE SHOWING PROJECT AREA -P3




FIGURE – 4: SATELLITE IMAGERY OF CLUSTER QUARRIES





FIGURE - 5: TOPOSHEET MAP COVERING 10 KM RADIUS

FIGURE - 6: QUARRY LEASE PLAN & SURFACE PLAN

P1-Thiru.R. Kumar



P2- Thiru.A.Urumaiya



NDEX APPLIED AREA GRAVEL TYXYY QUARRY PE Control of the contro APPROACH ROAD APPLICANT: imt, u. yujayalakshimi, v/o. uruman/a, io. 2/309, udan/ar street, killikkotta LOCATION OF Q.L. APPLIED AREA: S.F.Nos : \$53/1,2:3,4:5,6;162/9,10;11&154/9 EXTENT : 2.82,5 Ho. VILLAGE: I/DAIYAUPATIL 154/3A2 164/1B PLATE NO- II DATE OF SURVEY : 02.09.2023 153/2 QUARRY LEASE PLAN & SURFACE PLAN 153/10 SCALE, 1:1000 Existing Pit Dimension = 130mX125mX28m(d) 153/11

P3- Tmt.U.Viiavalakshmi

2.4 METHOD OF MINING

ON OB WOODLE BY

Opencast Mechanized Mining Method is being proposed by formation of 5.0-meter height bench with a bench width not less than the bench height. However, as far as the quarrying of Rough Stone is concerned, observance of the provisions of Regulation 106 (2) (b) as above is seldom possible due to various inherent petro genetic factors coupled with mining difficulties. Hence it is proposed to obtain relaxation to the provisions of the above regulation from the Director of Mines Safety for which necessary provision is available with the Regulation 106 (2) (b) of MMR-1961, under Mine Act – 1952.

The top layer of Topsoil will be Excavate directly by Hydraulic Excavators and preserved all along the safety barrier to facilitate greenbelt development during Mine Closure Stage. The Rough Stone is a batholith formation and the splitting of rock mass of considerable volume from the parent rock mass will be carried out by deploying jackhammer drilling and Slurry Explosives will be used for blasting. Hydraulic Excavators attached with Rock Breakers unit will be deployed for breaking large boulders to required fragmented sizes to avoid secondary blasting and hydraulic excavators attached with bucket unit will be deployed for loading the Rough Stone into the tippers and then the stone is transported from pithead to the nearby crushers.

2.5 PROPOSED MACHINERY DEPLOYMENT P1 – P3

	PROPOSAL – P1						
S.NO.	ТҮРЕ	NOS	SIZE/CAPACITY	MOTIVE POWER			
1	Jack hammers	6	1.2m to 2.0m	Compressed air			
2	Compressor	2	400psi	Diesel Drive			
3	Excavator with Bucket / Rock Breaker	2	300 HP	Diesel Drive			
4	Trucks	5	35 Tonnes	Diesel Drive			
5	Wagon Drill	1	60HP	TAM Rock			
•	PROPOSAL -	- P2	•				
S.NO.	ТҮРЕ	NOS	SIZE/CAPACITY	MOTIVE POWER			
1	Jack hammers	2	1.2m to 2.0m	Compressed air			
2	Compressor	1	400psi	Diesel Drive			
3	Excavator with Bucket / Rock Breaker Unit 4	1	300 HP	Diesel Drive			
4	Tippers	2	20 Tonnes	Diesel Drive			
	PROPOSAL -	- P3					
S.NO.	ТҮРЕ	NOS	SIZE/CAPACITY	MOTIVE POWER			
1	Jack hammers	2	1.2m to 2.0m	Compressed air			
2	Compressor	1	400psi	Diesel Drive			
3	Excavator with Bucket / Rock Breaker	1	300 HP	Diesel Drive			
4	Tippers	2	20 Tonnes	Diesel Drive			

2.6 CONCEPTUAL MINING PLAN/ FINAL MINE CLOSURE PLAN

The ultimate pit size is designed based on certain practical parameters such as economical depth of mining, safety zones, permissible area, etc.,

2.7 ULTIMATE PIT DIMENSION-P1

Sections	Length (Max) (m)	Width (Max) (m)	Depth (Max)
XY-IJ	42	22	7m bgl
X1Y1-AB	124	56	42m bgl
X1Y1-CD	65	173	42m bgl
X1Y1-EF	69	174	42m bgl
X2Y2-GH	66	58	22m bgl
X3Y3-AB	48	22	2m bgl
X3Y3-CD	40	40	12m bgl
X3Y3-EF	64	11	2m bgl

2.8 ULTIMATE PIT DIMENSION -P2

Dimension Pits	Length (Max) (m)	Width (Max) (m)	Depth (Max)
Pi-1	23	74	20m bgl
Pit-II	81	100	40m bgl
Pit-III	19	69	5m bgl
Pit-IV	32	53	20m bgl
Pit-V	19	80	5m bgl

2.9 ULTIMATE PIT DIMENSION -P3

Dimension Pits	Length (Max) (m)	Width (Max) (m)	Depth (Max)
Pi-1	130	125	40m bgl
Pit-II	130	60	40m bgl

Source: Approved Mining Plan

3.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT

The baseline status of the project environment is described section wise for better understanding of the broad-spectrum conditions. The baseline environment quality represents the background environmental scenario of various environmental components such as Land, Water, Air, Noise, Biological and Socio-economic status of the study area. Field monitoring studies to evaluate the base line status of the project site were carried out covering March – May 2023 as per CPCB & MoEF & CC guidelines.

3.1 ENVIRONMENT MONITORING ATTRIBUTES

Attribute	Parameters	Frequency of Monitoring	No. of Locations	Protocol
Land-use Land cover	Land-use Pattern within Land-use Pattern within 10 km radius of the handbook 202		Study Area	Satellite Imagery Primary Survey
*Soil Physio-Chemical Characteristics		Once during the study period	6 (2 core & 4 buffer zone)	IS 2720 Agriculture Handbook - Indian Council of Agriculture Research, New Delhi
*Water Quality	Physical, Chemical and Bacteriological Parameters	Once during the study period	6 (2 surface water & 4 ground water)	IS 10500& CPCB Standards
Meteorology	Wind Speed Wind Direction Temperature Cloud cover Dry bulb temperature Rainfall	1 Hourly Continuous Mechanical/Automatic Weather Station	1	Site specific primary data& Secondary Data from IMD Station
*Ambient Air Quality	PM_{10} $PM_{2.5}$ SO_2 NO_X Fugitive Dust	24 hourly twice a week (Oct – Dec 2022)	8 (3 core & 5 buffer)	IS 5182 Part 1-23 National Ambient Air Quality Standards, CPCB
*Noise Levels	Ambient Noise	Hourly observation for 24 Hours per location	8 (3 core & 5 buffer zone)	IS 9989 As per CPCB Guidelines
Ecology	Existing Flora and Fauna	Through field visit during the study period	Study Area	Primary Survey by Quadrate & Transect Study Secondary Data – Forest Working Plan
Socio Economic Aspects	Socio–Economic Characteristics, Population Statistics and Existing Infrastructure in the study area	Site Visit & Census Handbook, 2011	Study Area	Primary Survey, census handbook & need based assessments.

Source: On-site monitoring/sampling by EHS 360 Labs Private Limited in association with GEMS.

^{*} All monitoring and testing are been carried out as per the Guidelines of CPCB and MoEF & CC.

3.2 LAND ENVIRONMENT

To study the land use pattern of the core as well as a buffer zone, land use/land cover details have been identified/ maps have been prepared in accordance with the Standard ToR point. A visual interpretation technique has been adopted for land use supervised classification based on training site by Level III classification with 1:50,000 scale for the preparation of land use mapping. Land use pattern of the area was studied through LISSIII, Bhuvan, NRSC. The 10 km radius map of study area was taken for analysis of Land use/Landcover.

TABLE 3.1: LAND USE / LAND COVER TABLE 10 KM RADIUS

S.No	Classification	Area_Ha	Area_%				
	BUILTUP						
1	Builtup Rural	743.61	2.16				
2	Builtup Mining	87.36	0.25				
	AGRICULT	URAL LAND					
3	Crop Land	18976.00	55.19				
4	Agricultural Plantation	469.92	1.37				
5	Fallow Land	7657.26	22.27				
	FOR	REST					
6	Forest Plantation	794.54	2.31				
7	Scrub Forest	233.51	0.68				
8	Sandy Area	53.08	0.15				
	BARREN/W	ASTELAND					
9	Salt Affected Area	223.58	0.65				
10	Sandy Area	53.08	0.15				
11	Scrub Land	557.68	1.62				
	WATER	BODIES					
12	Waterbodies	4533.52	13.19				
		34383.14	100.00				

LU/LC Interpretation:

- The 10 km radius study area mainly comprises of Crop land & Scrub land accounting of 55.19 % & 1.62% of the total study area. The study area also consists of vegetation/plantation of 1.37%.
- The buffer zone studied has no ecological sensitive area (National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve/ etc.). 0.83 % of the total project area is occupied by the Forest Plantation and scrub Forest land in the study area.
- Water Bodies such as ponds/ lakes comprises of 13.19% of the total buffer area. The two seasonal rivers such as Kuttai and Odai, Tank etc., of the total study area.
- End accounts of 1.62%. As per the primary survey, it was observed the scrub land is mainly occupied by the stony waste and left-over domestic waste generated by the nearby areas.
- № 0.25% of the total study area is occupied by the mine industries. The area occupied by Mainly Roughstone and gravel of the total buffer area. As also observed within the primary survey, the 10 km buffer area is also occupied by the medium scaled granite and marble and small Brick kiln industries also located in the study area.

≥ 2.16% of the area is covered under the human Settlement. The nearest village within the 1km radius from the project site boundary is observed to be villages Rakkadanpatti, Udayalipatti and T. Kizhaiyur etc.,

3.3 SOIL ENVIRONMENT

The samples were analysed as per the standard methods prescribed in "Soil Chemical Analysis (M.L. Jackson, 1967) & Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Farmers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, Government of India". The important properties analysed for soil are bulk density, porosity, infiltration rate, pH and Organic matter, kjeldahi Nitrogen, Phosphorous and Potassium.

Physical Characteristics -

The physical properties of the soil samples were examined for texture, bulk density, porosity and water holding capacity. The soil texture found in the study area is Clay to Sandy Soil and Bulk Density of Soils in the study area varied between 0.93-1.13 g/cc. The Water Holding Capacity and Porosity of the soil samples is found to be medium i.e. ranging from 43.1-47.2%.

Chemical Characteristics –

- The nature of soil is slightly alkaline to strongly alkaline in nature with pH range 7.12 to 8.24
- The available Nitrogen content range between 345 to 403.5 mg/kg
- The available Phosphorus content range between 1.14 to 4.2 mg/kg
- The available Potassium range between 27 to 45.5 mg/kg

Whereas, the micronutrient as zinc (Zn), iron (Fe) and copper (Cu) were found in the range of 0.95 to 2.9 mg/kg; 1.09 to 2.56 mg/kg.

3.4 WATER ENVIRONMENT

The study area is studded with few tanks that serve as the source of drinking water and also their surplus feeds adjoining tanks. The rainfall over the area is moderate, the rainwater storage in open wells and trenches are in practice over the area and the stored water acts as source of freshwater for couple of months after rainy season.

Surface Water

Ph:

The pH 7.01-7.39 while turbidity found within the standards (Optimal pH range for sustainable aquatic life is 6.5 to 8.5 pH).

Total Dissolved Solids:

Total Dissolved Solid 500 - 710 mg/l, the TDS mainly composed of carbonates, bicarbonates, Chlorides, phosphates and nitrates of calcium, magnesium, sodium and other organic matter.

Other parameters:

Chloride content is 135.3 - 210 mg/l. Nitrates 6.5 - 9.3 mg/l, while sulphate 66 - 77.1 mg/l.

Ground Water

The pH of the water samples collected ranged from 7.51 to 7.72 and within the acceptable limit of 6.5 to 8.5. PH, Sulphates and Chlorides of water samples from all the sources are within the limits as per the Standard. On Turbidity, the water samples meet the requirement. The Total Dissolved Solids were found in the range of 388- 472 mg/l in all samples. The Total hardness varied between 128.56– 175.42 mg/l for all samples.

On Microbiological parameters, the water samples from all the locations meet the requirement. The parameters thus analysed were compared with IS 10500:2012 and are well within the prescribed limits.

3.5 AIR ENVIRONMENT

The baseline studies on air environment include identification of specific air pollution parameters and their existing levels in ambient air. The ambient air quality with respect to the study zone of 10 km radius around the proposed quarry forms the baseline information.




FIGURE - 7: WIND ROSE DIAGRAM

3.6 SUMMARY OF AMBIENT AIR QUALITY

As per monitoring data, PM_{10} ranges from 42 $\mu g/m^3$ to 57.2 $\mu g/m^3$, $PM_{2.5}$ data ranges from 20 $\mu g/m^3$ to 25.2 $\mu g/m^3$, SO_2 ranges from 5 $\mu g/m^3$ to 8.4 $\mu g/m^3$ and NO_2 data ranges from 21.0 $\mu g/m^3$ to 28.5 $\mu g/m^3$. The concentration levels of the above criteria pollutants were observed to be well within the limits of NAAQS prescribed by CPCB. The minimum & maximum concentrations of PM_{10} were found to be 42 $\mu g/m^3$ in core zone area & 57.2 $\mu g/m^3$ in Project area respectively. The minimum & maximum concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ were found to be 20 $\mu g/m^3$ in Veerapatti village & 25.2 $\mu g/m^3$ in Kunnandar Kovil area respectively. The maximum concentration in the core zone is due to the cluster of quarries situated within 500m radius.

3.7 NOISE ENVIRONMENT

Ambient noise levels were measured at 8 (eight) locations around the project area considering cluster quarries. Noise levels recorded in core zone during day time were from 40.7 - 43.1 dB (A) Leq and during night time were from 35.3 - 36.3 (A) Leq. Noise levels recorded in buffer zone during day time were from 37.6 - 39.7 dB (A) Leq and during night time were from 34 - 36.5 dB (A) Leq.

The values of noise observed in some of the areas are primarily owing to quarrying activities due to cluster of quarries within 500m radius, movement of vehicles and other anthropogenic activities. Noise monitoring results reveal that the maximum & minimum noise levels at day time were recorded in the range of 43.1 dB(A) in core zone and 39.7 dB(A) in project area and 36.5 dB(A) in Veerapatti & 34.0dB(A) in Kunnandar Kovil respectively in night time. Thus, the noise level for Industrial and Residential area meets the requirements of CPCB.

3.8 ECOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT

The study involved in the collection of primary data by conducting a survey in the field, examination of floral and faunal records in previously published reports and records. Analysis of the information is the view of the possible alteration in the environment of the project site. For the survey of fauna, both direct and indirect observation methods were used.

There is no schedule I species of animals observed within study area as per Wildlife Protection Act 1972 as well as no species is in vulnerable, endangered or threatened category as per IUCN. There is no endangered red list species found in the study area.

Hence this small operation over short period of time will not have any significant impact on the surrounding flora and fauna.

3.9 SOCIO ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT

It includes demographic structure of the area, provision of basic amenities viz., housing, education, health and medical services, occupation, water supply, sanitation, communication, transportation, prevailing diseases pattern as well as feature like temples, historical monuments etc., at the baseline level. This will help in visualizing and predicting the possible impact depending upon the nature and magnitude of the project.

The socio-economic study of surveyed villages gives a clear picture of its population, average household size, literacy rate and sex ratio etc. It is also found that a part of population is suffering from lack of permanent job to run their day-to-day life. Their expectation is to earn some income for their sustainability on a long-term basis.

The proposed project will aim to provide preferential 74persons to the local people there by improving the indirect employment opportunity for 100 persons and in turn the social standards will improve.

4. ANTICIPATED ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

In order to maintain the environmental commensuration with the mining operation, it is essential to undertake studies on the existing environmental scenario and assess the impact on different environmental components. This would help in formulating suitable management plans sustainable resource extraction.

4.1 LAND ENVIRONMENT:

ANTICIPATED IMPACT

- Permanent or temporary change on land use and land cover.
- Change in Topography: Topography of the ML area will change at the end of the life of the mine.
- Movement of heavy vehicles sometimes cause problems to agricultural land, human habitations due to dust, noise and it also causes traffic hazards.
- Due to degradation of land by pitting the aesthetic environment of the core zone may be affected.
- Earthworks during the rainy season increase the potential for soil erosion and sediment laden water entering the water ways.
- If no due care is taken wash off from the exposed working area may choke the water course & can also causes the siltation of water course.

MITIGATION MEASURES

- The mining activity will be gradual confined in blocks and excavation will be undertaken progressively along with other mitigative measures like phase wise development of greenbelt etc.,
- Construction of garland drains all around the quarry pits and construction of check dam
 at strategic location in lower elevations to prevent erosion due to surface runoff during
 rainfall and also to collect the storm water for various uses within the proposed area
- Green belt development along the boundary within safety zone. The small quantity of water stored in the mined-out pit will be used for greenbelt.

- Thick plantation will be carried out on unutilized area, top benches of mined out pits, on safety barrier, etc.,
- At conceptual stage, the land use pattern of the quarry will be changed into Greenbelt area and temporary reservoir.
- In terms of aesthetics, natural vegetation surrounding the quarry will be retained (such as in a buffer area i.e., 7.5 m safety barrier and other safety provided) so as to help minimise dust emissions.
- Proper fencing will be carried out at the conceptual stage, Security will be posted round the clock, to prevent inherent entry of the public and cattle.

4.2 SOIL ENVIRONMENT IMPACT ON SOIL ENVIRONMENT

Erosion and Sedimentation (Removal of protective vegetation cover; Exposure of underlying soil horizons that may be less pervious, or more erodible than the surface layers; Reduced capacity of soils to absorb rainfall; Increased energy in storm-water runoff due to concentration and velocity; and Exposure of subsurface materials which are unsuitable for vegetation establishment.

MITIGATION MEASURES FOR SOIL CONSERVATION

- Run-off diversion Garland drains will be constructed all around the project boundary to prevent surface flows from entering the quarry works areas. And will be discharged into vegetated natural drainage lines, or as distributed flow across an area stabilised against erosion.
- Sedimentation ponds Run-off from working areas will be routed towards sedimentation ponds. These trap sediment and reduce suspended sediment loads before runoff is discharged from the quarry site. Sedimentation ponds should be designed based on runoff, retention times, and soil characteristics. There may be a need to provide a series of sedimentation ponds to achieve the desired outcome.
- Retain vegetation Retain existing or re-plant the vegetation at the site wherever possible.
- Monitoring and maintenance Weekly monitoring and daily maintenance of erosion control systems so that they perform as specified specially during rainy season

4.3 WATER ENVIRONMENT

ANTICIPATED IMPACT

- The major sources of water pollution normally associated due to mining and allied operations are:
 - o Generation of waste water from vehicle washing.
 - o Washouts from surface exposure or working areas
 - o Domestic sewage
 - o Disturbance to drainage course in the project area
 - Mine Pit water discharge
- Increase in sediment load during monsoon in downstream of lease area

- This being a mining project, there will be no process effluent. Waste from washing of machinery may result in discharge of Oil & grease, suspended solids.
- The sewage from soak pit may percolate to the ground water table and contaminate it.
- Surface drainage may be affected due to Mining
- Abstraction of water may lead to depletion of water table

MITIGATION MEASURES

- Garland drain, settling tank will be constructed along the project area. The Garland drain will be connected to settling tank and sediments will be trapped in the settling traps and only clear water will be discharged out to the natural drainage.
- Rainwater will be collected in sump in the mining pits and will be allowed to store and pumped out to surface settling tank of 15 m x 10m x 3m to remove suspended solids if any. This collected water will be judiciously used for dust suppression and such sites where dust likely to be generated and for developing green belt. The proponent will collect and judicially utilize the rainwater as part of rainwater harvesting system.
- Providing benches with inner slopes and through a system of drains and channels, allowing rain water to descent into surrounding drains, so as to minimize the effects of erosion & water logging arising out of uncontrolled descent of water
- Reuse the water collected during storm for dust suppression and greenbelt development within the mines
- Installing interceptor traps/oil separators to remove oils and greases. Water from the tipper wash-down facility and machinery maintenance yard will pass through interceptor traps/oil separators prior to its reuse;
- Using flocculating or coagulating agents to assist in the settling of suspended solids during monsoon seasons;
- Periodic (every 6 month once) analysis of quarry pit water and ground water quality in nearby villages.
- Domestic sewage from site office & urinals/latrines provided in ML is discharged in septic tank followed by soak pits.
- Waste water discharge from mine will be treated in settling tanks before using for dust suppression and tree plantation purposes.
- De-silting will be carried out before and immediately after the monsoon season.
- Regular monitoring (every 6 month once) and analysing the quality of water in open well, bore wells and surface water.

4.4 AIR ENVIRONMENT

ANTICIPATED IMPACT

- During mining, at various stages activities such as excavation, drilling, blasting, and transportation of materials, particular matter (PM), gases such as Sulphur dioxide, oxides of Nitrogen from vehicular exhaust are the main air pollutants.
- Emissions of noxious gases due to incomplete detonation of explosive may sometimes pollute the air.

- The fugitive dust released from the mining operations may cause effect on the mine workers who are directly exposed to the fugitive dust.
- Simultaneously, the air-borne dust may travel to longer distances and settle in the villages located near the mine lease area.

MITIGATION MEASURES

Drilling – To control dust at source, wet drilling will be practiced. Where there is a scarcity of water, suitably designed dust extractor will be provided for dry drilling along with dust hood at the mouth of the drill-hole collar.

Advantages of Wet Drilling:-

- In this system dust gets suppressed close to its formation. Dust suppression become very effective and the work environment will be improved from the point of occupational comfort and health.
- Due to dust free atmosphere, the life of engine, compressor etc., will be increased.
- The life of drill bit will be increased.
- The rate of penetration of drill will be increased.
- Due to the dust free atmosphere visibility will be improved resulting in safer working conditions.

Blasting -

- Establish time of blasting to suit the local conditions and water sprinkling on blasting face
- Avoid blasting i.e., when temperature inversion is likely to occur and strong wind blows towards residential areas
- Controlled blasting includes Adoption of suitable explosive charge and short delay detonators, adequate stemming of holes at collar zone and restricting blasting to a particular time of the day i.e., at the time lunch hours, controlled charge per hole as well as charge per round of hole
- Before loading of material water will be sprayed on blasted material
- Dust mask will be provided to the workers and their use will be strictly monitored

Haul Road & Transportation -

- Water will be sprinkled on haul roads twice a day to avoid dust generation during transportation
- Transportation of material will be carried out during day time and material will be covered with taurpaulin
- The speed of tippers plying on the haul road will be limited below 20 km/hr to avoid generation of dust.
- Water sprinkling on haul roads & loading points will be carried out twice a day
- Main source of gaseous pollution will be from vehicle used for transportation of mineral; therefore, weekly maintenance of machines improves combustion process & makes reduction in the pollution.
- The un-metalled haul roads will be compacted weekly before being put into use.

- Over loading of tippers will be avoided to prevent spillage.
- It will be ensured that all transportation vehicles carry a valid PUC certificate
- Grading of haul roads and service roads to clear accumulation of loose materials

Green Belt -

- Planting of trees all along main mine haul roads and regular grading of haul roads will be practiced to prevent the generation of dust due to movement of dumpers/trucks
- Green belt of adequate width will be developed around the project areas

Occupational Health -

- Dust mask will be provided to the workers and their use will be strictly monitored
- Annual medical check-ups, trainings and campaigns will be arranged to ensure awareness about importance of wearing dust masks among all mine workers & tipper drivers
- Ambient Air Quality Monitoring will be conducted six months once to assess effectiveness of mitigation measures proposed

4.5 NOISE ENVIRONMENT

ANTICIPATED IMPACT

Noise pollution poses a major health risk to the mine workers. Following are the sources of noise in the existing open cast mine project are being observed such as Drilling, & Blasting, Loading and during movement of vehicles.

MITIGATION MEASURES

- Usage of sharp drill bits while drilling which will help in reducing noise;
- Secondary blasting will be totally avoided and hydraulic rock breaker will be used for breaking boulders;
- Controlled blasting with proper spacing, burden, stemming and optimum charge/delay will be maintained;
- The blasting will be carried out during favourable atmospheric condition and less human activity timings by using nonelectrical initiation system;
- Proper maintenance, oiling and greasing of machines will be done every week to reduce generation of noise;
- Provision of sound insulated chambers for the workers working on machines (HEMM) producing higher levels of noise;
- Silencers / mufflers will be installed in all machineries;
- Green Belt/Plantation will be developed around the project area and along the haul roads. The plantation minimizes propagation of noise;
- Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) like ear muffs/ear plugs will be provided to the operators of HEMM and persons working near HEMM and their use will be ensured though training and awareness.
- Regular medical check—up and proper training to personnel to create awareness about adverse noise level effects.

4.6 BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT ANTICIPATED IMPACT

The developmental programs, policies, and projects operated or managed by government or private bodies can cause potentially significant changes in the physical, biological, and socio-economic environment. In some cases, the changes may be beneficial while in others it may be detrimental to the environment. Accordingly, environmental impact studies are required for systematic identification, qualification, and interpretation of the anticipated changes. The main environmental problems associated with mining activities are deforestation, land degradation (change in topography, soil erosion), visual intrusion, disturbance to the hydrological system, and water, air, and noise pollution which ultimately impact upon the floral and faunal status of the project area.

MITIGATION MEASURES

Keeping all this in mind the mitigations have been suggested under environmental management plan. With the understanding of the role of plant species as bio-filter to control air pollution, appropriate plant species (mainly tree species) have been suggested conceding the area/site requirements and needed performance of specific species. The details of year wise proposed plantation program are given in Table 4.13.

The main objective of the green belt is to provide a barrier between the source of pollution and the surrounding areas

In order to compensate the loss of vegetation cover, it is suggested to carry out afforestation program mainly in proposed areas falls in the cluster earmarked for plantation program as per Approved Mining Plan in different phases. This habitat improvement program would ensure the faunal species to re-colonize and improve the abundance status in the core zone.

The objectives of the green belt cover will cover the following:

- Noise abatement
- Ecological restoration
- Aesthetic, biological and visual improvement of area due to improved vegetative and plantations cover.

GREENBELT DEVELOPMENT PLAN P1& P2, P3

	PROPOSAL FOR P1						
Year	No. of trees proposed to	Survial	Area to be planted	Name of the species			
	be planted	%					
I	It is proposed to plant	80%	Safety barrier, Un				
	3,400 Nos of trees in the		utilized areas and	Neem, Pongamia pinnata,			
	1 st year		nearby village	etc			
	•		roads				
		PROPOS	AL FOR P2				
I	It is proposed to plant	80%	Safety barrier, Un				
	1,350 Nos of trees in the		utilized areas and	Neem, Pongamia pinnata,			
	1st year		nearby village	etc.,			
			roads				
PROPOSAL FOR P3							
I	It is proposed to plant	80%	Safety barrier, Un	Neem, Pongamia Pinnata,			
	1,410 Nos of trees in the		utilized areas and	Casuarina, etc			

1 st year	nearby village	
	roads	

4.7 SOCIO ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT

ANTICIPATED IMPACT

- No. of people will get employment during the construction stage resulting in the ancillary development and growth. Nearby Local people will be given preference for employment on the basis of their skill and experience.
- En Further due to proposed project, influx of working community will also generate an indirect employment through development of nearby market/ shops, trade centers, activities, transportation etc.
- № Population influx during the construction phase can introduce various water and vector borne diseases which can lead to various unhygienic health problems in the area by disturbing the existing sanitation infrastructure.
- Rapid diverse population influx at the project site can create unusual behavioural activity such as worker-community conflicts, increase violence such as theft/stabbing, and increased consumption of drugs/alcohol within the area.
- En Impacts on the health of nearby villagers can be envisaged due to the transportation activities leading to short term exposure of fugitive dust, resulting in various acute diseases such as increased eye irritation, nausea, headache etc.

MITIGATION MEASURES

- Good maintenance practices will be adopted for all machinery and equipment, which will help to avert potential noise problems.
- Green belt will be developed in and around the project site as per Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) guidelines.
- Air pollution control measure will be taken to minimize the environmental impact within the core zone.
- For the safety of workers, personal protective appliances like hand gloves, helmets, safety shoes, goggles, aprons, nose masks and ear protecting devices will be provided as per mines act and rules.
- Benefit to the State and the Central governments through financial revenues by way of royalty, tax, duties, etc.., from this project directly and indirectly.
- From above details, the quarry operations will have highly beneficial positive impact in the area.

Table 4.6.3 Impact Evaluation Impact evaluation is given in table below.

Impact Evaluation Element	Impact on socio economics due to the applied for
	Udaiyalipatti Rough Stone and Gravel Cluster Quarries over
	an extent of (17.64.0 ha) Patta lands in Udaiyalipatti Village,
	Kulathur Taluk, Pudukottai District, Tamil Nadu State.

Potential Effect/ Concern	Proposed pro	Proposed project will provide direct & indirect employment				
	opportunities to the local residents, which will help to					
	increase their earning and better living standard as well as					
	further up-lift	further up-liftment of socio-economic status of the area.				
Characteristics of Impacts						
Natara	Posi	tive	Nagative	Netural		
Nature	•					
Type	Direct	Indirect	Cum	ulative		
Type				✓		
	Project	Local	Zonal	Regional		
Extent	area					
	✓					
Duration	Short time		Long term			
Duration			✓			
T	Lo	W	Medium High			
I Intencity						
Intensity			✓			
	Remote (R)	Occasional	✓ Periodic (P)	Continuous (C)		
Frequency	Remote (R)	Occasional (O)		-		
	Remote (R)			-		
	Remote (R)			-		
Frequency Significance of Impact	Remote (R) Insignificant			-		
Frequency		(O)	Periodic (P)	Continuous (C)		

5. ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES (TECHNOLOGY AND SITE)

No alternatives are suggested as all the mine sites are mineral specific.

6. ENVIRONMENT MONITORING PROGRAM


An Environment monitoring cell (EMC) will be constituted to monitor the implementation of EMP and other environmental protection measures in all the proposed quarries.

The responsibilities of this cell will be:

- Implementation of pollution control measures
- Monitoring programme implementation
- Post-plantation care
- To check the efficiency of pollution control measures taken

- Any other activity as may be related to environment
- Seeking expert's advice when needed.

6.1 ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING CELL



6.2 POST ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE MONITORING SCHEDULE

S.	Environment	Environment Location Monitoring		nitoring	Parameters
No.	Attributes	Location	Duration	Frequency	Farameters
1	Air Quality	2 Locations (1 Core & 1 Buffer)	24 hours	Once in 6 months	Fugitive Dust, $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} , SO_2 and NO_x .
2	Meteorology	At mine site before start of Air Quality Monitoring & IMD Secondary Data	Hourly / Daily	Continuous online monitoring	Wind speed, Wind direction, Temperature, Relative humidity and Rainfall
3	Water Quality Monitoring	2 Locations (1SW & 1 GW)	-	Once in 6 months	Parameters specified under IS:10500, 1993 & CPCB Norms
4	Hydrology	Water level in open wells in buffer zone around 1 km at specific wells	-	Once in 6 months	Depth in bgl
5	Noise	2 Locations (1 Core & 1 Buffer)	Hourly – 1 Day	Once in 6 months	Leq, Lmax, Lmin, Leq Day & Leq Night
6	Vibration	At the nearest habitation (in case of reporting)	_	During blasting Operation	Peak Particle Velocity
7	Soil	2 Locations (1 Core & 1 Buffer)	_	Once in six months	Physical and Chemical Characteristics
8	Greenbelt	Within the Project Area	Daily	Monthly	Maintenance

Source: Guidance of manual for mining of minerals, February 2010

7. ADDITIONAL STUDIES

7.1 RISK ASSESSMENT

The methodology for the risk assessment has been based on the specific risk assessment guidance issued by the Directorate General of Mine Safety (DGMS), Dhanbad, vide Circular No.13 of 2002, dated 31st December, 2002. The DGMS risk assessment process is intended to identify existing and probable hazards in the work environment and all operations and assess the risk levels of those hazards in order to prioritize those that need

immediate attention. Further, mechanisms responsible for these hazards are identified and their control measures, set to timetable are recorded along with pinpointed responsibilities.

The whole quarry operation will be carried out under the direction of a Qualified Competent Mine Manager holding certificate of competency to manage a metalliferous mine granted by the DGMS, Dhanbad for proposed project. Risk Assessment is all about prevention of accidents and to take necessary steps to prevent it from happening.

7.2 DISASTER MANAGEMENT PLAN

Natural disasters like Earthquake, Landslides have not been recorded in the past history as the terrain is categorized under seismic zone III. The area is far away from the sea hence the disaster due to heavy floods and tsunamis are not anticipated.

The Disaster Management Plan is aimed to ensure safety of life, protection of environment, protection of installation, restoration of production and salvage operations in this same order of priorities.

The objective of the Disaster Management Plan is to make use of the combined resources of the mine and the outside services to achieve the following:

- Rescue and medical treatment of casualties;
- Safeguard other people;
- Minimize damage to property and the environment;
- Initially contain and ultimately bring the incident under control;
- Secure the safe rehabilitation of affected area; and
- Preserve relevant records and equipment for the subsequent inquiry into the cause and circumstances of the emergency.

7.3 CUMULATIVE IMPACT STUDY

CUMULATIVE PRODUCTION LOAD OF ROUGH STONE IN CLUSTER

Quarry	Production for five- year plan period	Per Year Production in m ³	Per Day Production in m ³	Number of Lorry Load Per Day @ 12m³ per load
P1	11,29,005	225,801	753	63 Trips /Day
P2	1,41,130	28,226	94	8 Trips /Day
P3	90,700	18,140	60	5 Trips /Day
Total	13,60,835	2,72,167	907	76Trips /Day
E1	15,280	3,056	10	2Trips /Day
E2	99,267	19,853	66	6Trips /Day
E3	3,26,350	65,270	218	18Trips /Day
Total	4,40,897	88,179	294	26 Trips /Day
Grant Total	18,01,732	3,60,346	1201	102 Trips /Day

CUMULATIVE PRODUCTION LOAD OF GRAVEL IN CLUSTER

Quarry	Mineable Reserves in m ³	Per Year Production in m ³	Per Day in m ³	Number of Lorry Load @ 12m³ per load
P1	1,01,414	33,805	113	10 Trips /Day,
P2	26,900	8,967	30	3 Trips /week
P3	11,856	3,952	13	1 Trips /week
TOTAL	1,40,170	46,724	156	14 Trips/ week
E1	2,812	2,812	9	2- Trips /week
E2	-	-	=	-

E3	-	-	-	-
Total	2,812	2,812	9	2- Trips /week
Grand total	1,42,982	49,536	165	16Trips/ week

CUMULATIVE PRODUCTION OF WEATHERED ROCK IN CLUSTER

Quarry	Mineable Reserves in m ³	Per Year Production in m ³	Per Day in m ³	Number of Lorry Load @ 12m³ per load
P1	-	-	=	-
P2	33,447	11,149	37	3-4
P3	-	-	=	-
TOTAL	33,447	11,149	37	3-4
E1	11,248	3750	13	1
E2	-	-	=	-
E3	-	-	=	-
Total	11,248	3750	13	1
Grand total	44,695	14,899	50	4 Trips/ week

PREDICTED NOISE INCREMENTAL VALUES FROM CLUSTER

Location ID	Background Value (Day) dB(A)	Incremental Value dB(A)	Total Predicted dB(A)	Residential Area Standards dB(A)
N1	45.2	56.6	56.9	
N2	44.5	50.6	51.5	
N3	49.5	40.1	50.0	
N4	47.1	38.5	47.7	Residential Day Time– 55
N5	46.1	29.5	46.2	dB (A) Night Time- 45 dB (A)
N6	44.2	26.1	44.3	` ` ` `
N7	44.3	29.7	44.4	
N8	44.9	26.8	45.0	

SOCIO ECONOMIC BENEFITS FROM 3 MINES

Location Code	Employment	Project Cost	CER
P1	40	Rs.2,70,29,000/-	Rs.5,00,000/-
P2	17	Rs. 43,62,000/-	Rs.5,00,000/-
P3	17	Rs. 41,71,000/-	Rs.5,00,000/-
Total	74	Rs. 3,55,62,000/-	Rs. 15,00,000/-
E1	12	Rs. 14,75,000/-	Rs.5,00,000/-
E2	16	Rs. 23,55,000	Rs.5,00,000/-
E3	15	Rs. 62,30,000/-	Rs.5,00,000/-
Total	43	Rs. 10,060,000	Rs. 15,00,000/-
Grand Total	117	Rs. 4,56,22,000	Rs.30,00,000/-

A total of 74 people will get employment due to 3 mines in cluster and already employed at existing mines are 43 Nos. Allocation for Corporate Environment Responsibility (CER) shall be made as per Government of India, MoEF & CC Office Memorandum F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III, Dated: 01.05.2018 by all the mines.

8. PROJECT BENEFITS

The three Proposed Projects for Quarrying Rough Stone and Gravel at Udaiyalipatti Village aims to produce cumulatively 13,60,835m3 Rough Stone over period of 5 Years . This will enhance the socio-economic activities in the adjoining areas and will result in the following benefits

- Increase in Employment Potential
- Improvement in Socio-Economic Welfare
- Improvement in Physical Infrastructure
- Improvement in Social infrastructure

9. ENVIRONMENT MANAGEMENT PLAN

The Environment Monitoring cell discussed formed by the mine management will ensure effective implementation of environment management plan and to ensure compliance of environmental statutory guidelines through Mine Management Level.

The said team will be responsible for:

- Monitoring of the water/ waste water quality, air quality and solid waste generated
- ♣ Analysis of the water and air samples collected through external laboratory
- Implementation and monitoring of the pollution control and protective measures/ devices which shall include financial estimation, ordering, installation of air pollution control equipment, waste water treatment plant, etc.
- Co-ordination of the environment related activities within the project as well as with outside agencies.
- Collection of health statistics of the workers and population of the surrounding villages.
- **4** Green belt development.
- ♣ Monitoring the progress of implementation of the environmental monitoring programme.
- ♣ Compliance to statutory provisions, norms of State Pollution Control Board, Ministry of Environment and Forests and the conditions of the environmental clearance as well as the consents to establish and consents to operate.

10. CONCLUSION

Various aspects of mining activities were considered and related impacts were evaluated. Considering all the possible ways to mitigate the environmental concerns Environmental Management Plan was prepared and fund has been allocated for the same. The EMP is dynamic, flexible and subjected to periodic review. For project where the major environmental impacts are associated, EMP will be under regular review. Senior Management responsible for the project will conduct a review of EMP and its implementation to ensure that the EMP remains effective and appropriate. Thus, the proper steps will be taken to accomplish all the goals mentioned in the EMP and the project will bring the positive impact in the study area.
